

Novel coronavirus 2019 transmission risk in educational settings

Chee Fu Yung, Chia Yin Chong, Jiahui Li, Kai-qian Kam, Karen Donceras Nadua, Kee Chong Ng, Khai Pin Lee, Koh Cheng Thoon, Natalie Woon Hui Tan, Yoke Hwee Chan



You've relied on CD4 counts to assess the co-infection risk of your HIV patients. Now, it counts more than ever.

[See how we can help >](#) 

Novel coronavirus 2019 transmission risk in educational settings

Chee Fu Yung^{1,2,3}; Kai-qian Kam^{1,2}; Karen Donceras Nadua^{1,2}; Chia Yin Chong^{1,2,3}; Natalie Woon Hui Tan^{1,2,3}; Jiahui Li^{1,2}; Khai Pin Lee⁴; Yoke Hwee Chan^{2,5}; Koh Cheng Thoon^{*1,2,3}; Kee Chong Ng^{*2,5}

¹Infectious Disease Service, Dept. of Paediatrics, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore.

²Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore.

³Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Imperial College London, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.

⁴Children's Emergency, Dept. of Paediatrics, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore.

⁵Division of Medicine, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore.

*equal contributions

Corresponding author: Dr. Chee Fu Yung

Email: Yung.Chee.Fu@singhealth.com.sg.

Address: KK Women's and Children's Hospital, 100 Bukit Timah Singapore 229899

Tel: +65 63945982

Abstract

Transmission risk of SARS-CoV-2 in schools is unknown. Our investigations especially in pre-schools could not detect SARS-CoV-2 transmission despite screening of symptomatic and asymptomatic children. The data suggests that children are not the primary drivers of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in schools and could help inform exit strategies for lifting of lockdowns.

Key words: Transmission, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, Pediatric, School, Closures

Accepted Manuscript

Introduction

The clinical manifestation of novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in children has been reported to range from asymptomatic to moderate rather than severe, but the burden of disease and their role in community transmission remains unknown^{1,2}. Many countries have implemented school closures nationwide as part of lockdown measures in an effort to slow community transmission of COVID-19. However, a recent systematic review using available evidence from the SARS outbreak found that school closures were not effective in controlling the epidemic³. In addition, modelling studies of COVID-19 estimate that school closures on their own would only reduce overall mortality by 2 to 4%³. Understanding the risk of acquiring COVID-19 in specific settings such as schools would allow us to identify drivers of the epidemic and therefore, inform public health control strategies. This report describes the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission among children in educational settings (pre-school and secondary school).

Methods

Study population

In February and March 2020, comprehensive nationwide surveillance and contact tracing as part of Singapore's public health strategy identified three potential SARS-CoV-2 seeding incidents in three separate educational settings^{4,5}. There were two pre-schools and one secondary school. Clinical and epidemiological data of the confirmed cases and their contacts from school were extracted for analysis.

Interventions and investigations

All close contacts e.g. students from the same class were placed under quarantine (14 days from last exposure). Quarantined individuals who developed fever or any respiratory symptom were immediately sent for medical evaluation of COVID-19 and admitted for isolation. Other schoolmates who were not deemed to be close contacts were not quarantined and continued with classes. All students/parents of the school were advised to monitor for symptoms and were similarly admitted for COVID-19 evaluation if they were unwell within the 14-day incubation period. All admitted cases required at least 2 negative nasopharyngeal (NP) swabs to be taken on two separate calendar days before being discharged from the hospital. Schools were not routinely closed but

targeted public health measures were implemented. These included terminal cleaning of the schools and measures to reduce student mixing, such as suspension of extra-curricular or sport activities and staggered recess breaks. For the third incident (pre-school 2), in addition to the above measures, the pre-school was closed for 14 days following quick detection of an increasing number of staff members with COVID-19. Furthermore, a single NP swab screening for SARS-CoV-2 among asymptomatic children attending the preschool was also initiated to identify potential asymptomatic cases.

The study was approved by the institutional ethics review board. Written informed consent was waived in light of the need to inform public health outbreak control policies.

Results

Symptomatic screening of secondary school and pre-school 1

A 12-year-old student who attended secondary school and a 5-year-old who attended preschool were both found to be SARS-CoV-2 positive from contact tracing following their exposures to adult family household members who were part of a community cluster. Both students attended their respective educational settings on the first day of their symptoms before subsequently being diagnosed with COVID-19 and isolated in the hospital.

In the secondary school settings, a total of 8 students from the school developed symptoms and were screened for SARS-CoV-2 during the incubation period. The mean age was 12.8 years old and there was on average an 11-day interval between last exposure and the first NP swab from the contacts. (Table 1) The most common presenting symptom was cough (87.5%), followed by rhinorrhea (37.5%). All 8 symptomatic student contacts tested negative from that school.

In the preschool setting (preschool 1), a total of 34 pre-school student contacts developed symptoms during the incubation period post-exposure and hence were swabbed for SARS-CoV-2. The mean age was 4.9 years old

and there was on average a 5-day interval between last exposure and the first NP swab from the contacts. Similar to the secondary school setting, the common presenting symptoms were cough (94.1%) and rhinorrhea (41.2%). Only 14.7% of them presented with fever. All 34 symptomatic contacts at the preschool were found to be negative for SARS-CoV-2.

Asymptomatic and symptomatic screening of pre-school 2

The third incident involved a pre-school cluster where the index case was an adult staff. This pre-school setting (preschool 2) developed into a significant cluster where up to a total of 16 adult staff members of the pre-school with a further 11 cases from their own households were infected^{4,5}. A total of 77 (about 73% of total pre-school student population) children from the pre-school were evaluated. Of these 8 were symptomatic and 69 were asymptomatic. The mean interval between last exposure to NP swab sampling was about 6 days and 10 days for symptomatic and asymptomatic children respectively. SARS-CoV-2 infection was not detectable from screening of all 77 children. There was no significant difference in age or gender between those who provided an NP swab versus those who did not. The remaining 27% who chose not to provide an NP screening swab did not develop any symptoms while under close monitoring and quarantine.

Discussion

Comprehensive surveillance and screening of symptomatic student contacts following exposure to an index COVID-19 student case up to the first day of symptom onset in a secondary school and a preschool setting found no evidence of disease transmission. In addition, screening of both symptomatic and asymptomatic children who were exposed to a major COVID-19 cluster of adult staff members in a separate pre-school setting also did not detect any SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Prior studies showed that the viral load of SARS-CoV-2 infection peaked soon after symptom onset and that it was possible for transmission to occur independent of symptoms^{1,6}. Environmental viral contamination from a generally well pediatric COVID-19 case had also been demonstrated⁷. However, during our investigations, evidence of COVID-19 disease was undetectable among symptomatic contacts in both secondary and pre-school settings. In the third transmission study (pre-school 2), the attack rates amongst staff members in the pre-school and their households were unsurprisingly high in view of the high R_0 and attack rates reported in the community^{8,9}. Despite screening both symptomatic and asymptomatic children in pre-school 2, SARS-CoV-2 infection was also undetectable. Taken together, these findings suggest that the risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission among children in schools especially pre-schools is likely to be low. The only other published school transmission risk study involved a symptomatic 9-year old child with COVID-19 who attended three different schools¹⁰. Subsequent follow up and testing of symptomatic children from the pre-schools also did not detect virus transmission. The reason for this low attack rate amongst children is unclear. A recent study using single nucleus multiomic profiling of alveolar epithelial cells found that lung samples from young children expressed less genes (ACE2 and TMPRSS2) known to be utilized by SARS-CoV-2 for cell entry¹¹. Therefore, children may be more resistant to SARS-CoV-2 infection at a cellular level.

Our data from SARS-CoV-2 screen testing performed at educational settings show that ensuring children with acute respiratory symptoms stay away from school, immediately from the first day of symptom onset could be effective in preventing transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Blanket-wide school closures may not be necessary to mitigate the risk of COVID-19 outbreaks in educational settings. School closures are associated with community-wide socioeconomic impact beyond the educational needs of children (e.g. parents need to take

leave from work to care for their home-bound children)¹². They could even propagate the transmission of SARS-CoV-2, as unsupervised children can increase social activities in the community instead of practicing social distancing. These findings could help inform exit strategies for current lockdowns. Allowing schools to reopen while maintaining other social distancing measures would have major advantages in terms of supporting essential workers especially healthcare workers with children.

In the study, the mean interval between last exposure and NP swab sample date of contacts ranged from 5-11 days. However, it was unlikely that timing of sample collection would have missed infected cases since available viral load studies have shown both early viral load peaks and long duration of PCR detection especially in children^{1,6}. No serology was performed to assess the true burden of infection. High levels of herd immunity from asymptomatic transmission in children could have affected the findings but this was unlikely in view of the very low number of pediatric cases detected in Singapore following a coordinated major containment effort in place since January 2020. Approximately 40% of symptomatic contacts were additionally tested via multiplex PCR and of these, about half were positive for other viral respiratory pathogens (rhinovirus, adenovirus and metapneumovirus).

In conclusion, our data suggests that children are not the primary drivers of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in schools especially pre-schools. We found that SARS-CoV-2 transmission among children in schools appears significantly lower than observed for other respiratory viruses, such as influenza. This could help inform strategies for lifting of current lockdowns. Based on these findings, more targeted control measures for preschool settings such as keeping symptomatic children away from schools instead of blanket-wide closures could be considered.

NOTES

Author Contributions

CFY designed the study. CFY, KQK, KCT and KCN collected and analyzed the data. CFY drafted the first draft. All authors provided inputs for the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement

The staff of KK Women's and Children's Hospital for their unwavering dedication in ensuring patients continue to be cared for under the challenging conditions of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures

We declare no competing interests.

Accepted Manuscript

References

- 1) Kam KQ, Yung CF, Cui L, et al. A well infant with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) with high viral load. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020 Feb 28. pii: ciaa201. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa201.
- 2) Qiu H, et al. Clinical and epidemiological features of 36 children with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Zhejiang, China: an observational cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020 Mar 25. pii: S1473-3099(20)30198-5. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30198-5.
- 3) Viner RM, Russell SJ, Croker H et al. School closure and management practices during coronavirus outbreaks including COVID-19: a rapid systematic review. *The Lancet Child and Adolescent Health*. Published online: April 06, 2020. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(20\)30095-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30095-X)
- 4) Ministry of Health Singapore. Four more cases discharged, three new cases of COVID-19 infection confirmed. Available at: <https://www.moh.gov.sg/news-highlights/details/four-more-cases-discharged-three-new-cases-of-covid-19-infection-confirmed>. Accessed on April 18 2020.
- 5) Ministry of Health news update: 30th March 2020. <https://www.moh.gov.sg/news-highlights/details/16-more-cases-discharged-35-new-cases-of-covid-19-infection-confirmed>. Accessed on April 18 2020.
- 6) Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load in upper respiratory specimens of infected patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 Mar 19;382(12):1177-1179. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2001737.
- 7) Yung CF, Kam K, Wong MS, et al. Environment and personal protective equipment tests for SARS-CoV-2 in the isolation room of an infant with infection. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020; doi: <https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-0942>.
- 8) Sanche S, Lin YT, Xu C, Romero-Severson E, Hengartner N, Ke R. High contagiousness and rapid spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2020 Jul. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2607.200282>

- 9) Liu Y, Eggo RM, Kucharskia AJ. Secondary attack rate and superspreading events for SARS-CoV-2. *Lancet*. 2020 Mar 14;395(10227):e47. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30462-1.
- 10) Danis K, Epaulard O, Bénét T et al. Cluster of coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) in the French Alps, 2020. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020 Apr 11. pii: ciaa424. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa424.
- 11) Wang A, Chiou J, Poirion OB et al and NHLBI LungMap Consortium. Single Nucleus Multiomic Profiling Reveals Age-Dynamic Regulation of Host Genes Associated with SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *bioRxiv* 2020.04.12.037580; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.12.037580>
- 12) Wang G, Zhang Y, Zhao J, Zhang J, Jiang F. Mitigate the effects of home confinement on children during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Lancet*. 2020 Mar 21;395(10228):945-947. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30547-X

Accepted Manuscript

Table 1: Clinical epidemiology and NP swab results of school/preschool contacts (N=119)

	Secondary school (N=8)	Pre-school 1 (N= 34)	Pre-school 2 (N=77)	
			Yes (n=8)	No (n=69)
Presence of symptoms in contacts	Yes	Yes		
Age, mean years (range)	12.8 (12.0-15.0)	4.9 (2.8-6.2)	4.1 (3-6)	3.6 (1-6)
Gender, n (%)				
Male	8 (100)	20 (58.8)	4 (50)	36 (52.2)
Female	0 (0)	14 (41.2)	4 (50)	33 (47.8)
Admitted, n (%)				
Yes	5 (62.5)	11 (32.3)	0	0
No	3 (37.5)	23 (67.6)	8 (100)	69 (100)
NP sample SARS-CoV-2 PCR positive, n (%)	0	0	0	0
Interval between last exposure to index case and date of FIRST NP sample from contact, mean days (range)	11 (7-15)	5 (4-7)	5.5 (2-16)	9.5 (9-16)
Close contact under quarantine, n (%)				
Yes	5 (62.5)	9 (26.5)	8 (100)	69 (100)
No	3 (37.5)	25 (73.5)	0	0
Contact, n (%)				
Same class	5 (62.5)	9 (26.4)	Unknown	Unknown
Same year	1 (12.5)	7 (20.6)	Unknown	Unknown
Same school	2 (25.0)	18 (52.9)	8 (100)	69 (100)
Nasopharyngeal specimens taken, n (%)				
1	3 (37.5)	23 (67.6)	8 (100)	69 (100)
≥2	5 (62.5)	11 (32.4)	0	0
Symptoms, n (%)				
Fever	2 (25.0)	5 (14.7)	3 (37.5)	NA
Cough	7 (87.5)	32 (94.1)	5 (62.5)	NA
Sore throat	1 (12.5)	1 (2.9)	1 (12.5)	NA
Rhinorrhea	3 (37.5)	14 (41.2)	6 (75.0)	NA
Shortness of breath	1 (12.5)	0	0	NA
Diarrhea	1 (12.5)	0	0	NA
Chest X-ray findings				
Normal	1 (12.5%)	0 (0%)	NA	NA
Abnormal	0 (0%)	4 (11.8%)	NA	NA
Not done	8 (87.5%)	30 (88.2%)	8 (100)	NA

NA: Not applicable